

**AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS:**

The attached sheet of drawing(s) includes changes to Fig. 13 wherein the illegible print has been corrected to be legible, and the empty boxes have been filled in.

Attachments:      One Replacement Sheet (Fig. 13)

**REMARKS**

In response to the *Ex Parte Quayle* Office Action of November 14, 2008, Applicant has amended the cited informalities in claims 1, 11, 12, 13, 18, 29, 37, and 38, rendering moot the objections thereto. Applicant also has amended claims 11, 12, 29, and 38 to recite a microprocessor, as requested by the Examiner in a telephone conference on April 15, 2009.

Applicant respectfully traverses the objection to claim 11, line 7. In claim 11, antecedent basis for the term “frequency distributions” exists in line 5. The Examiner’s requirement to delete the entire expression “each of the” from line 7 was improper, because the same expression was permitted by the Examiner to remain in lines 5-8 of allowable claim 1. Nevertheless, solely to move this case forward to allowance, and without prejudice to, or disclaimer of any claimed subject matter, Applicant has consented to the Examiner’s objection, and deleted “each of the” from claim 11, line 7.


In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1-38 are now allowable, and requests reconsideration and allowance of this application.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge  
any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: May 20, 2009

By: 

James W. Edmondson  
Reg. No. 33,871  
(202) 408-4000

**Attachments:** One Replacement Sheet (Fig. 13)